

AHNB Endangered Places Nomination Form

Name of Endangered Place: Location of Endangered Place: Civic Address: Municipality:
Name of Nominator: Organization (if applicable): Address: Phone: Email:
Owner of Endangered Place: Owner contact information (email and/or phone): Has the owner been contacted about this endangered place? Yes No
The weighted matrix for scoring a nominated listing consists of the following three values:
 Heritage Value (40%) Risk Assessment (40%) Potential for Rehabilitation/Adaptive Re-Use (20%)
The scoring will only be based on the information provided by the nominator in the nomination package.
The nomination package must include:
 A completed nomination form Images of the nominated site Any other support material which contributes to any of the scoring categories
The nominator agrees to the following terms:
 All of the information provided is accurate. All of the collected data submitted as part of the nomination package is public domain and does not violate the privacy of the owner of the nominated site. If selected, the nominator will produce an update (report card) on the status of the site 6 months and 12 months after the initial publication of the list on which the site appears. The specific dates for the updates will be determined by the AHNB.
Signature of Nominator/Representative of the nominating organization:

Send completed submission package to: info@amnb.ca

Scoring Value Definitions

Heritage Value (40%)

As defined in the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada, heritage value is the "aesthetic, historic, scientific, cultural, social or spiritual importance or significance for past, present and future generations. The heritage value of an historic place is embodied in its character-defining materials, forms, location, spatial configurations, uses and cultural associations or meanings".

Heritage value can have local, provincial, regional, national, or global significance.

- On a 1 10 scale, a 1 would indicate very little significance, even at the local level. An
 example could be a vernacular residence in poor condition with no significant
 associative value in the community, especially if better examples of the building style
 exist within the community.
- On a 1 10 scale, a 10 would indicate great significance that reaches beyond the community. An example could be a school that was nationally significant at the time of its construction, is in an excellent state of conservation, is a very rare example of a specific architectural style and has graduates that went on to great global acclaim.

Risk Assessment (40%)

A risk can be defined simply as "the chance of something happening that will have a negative impact on our objectives". For the purposes of this program, the "objective" is the conservation of a significant place.

The following document from the *International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property* is an excellent resource for understanding risk and risk management of heritage sites:

https://www.iccrom.org/wp-content/uploads/Guide-to-Risk-Managment English.pdf

- On a scale of 1-10, a 1 would indicate that there is little or no risk of demolition or alteration to any elements that contribute to the heritage value of the place. An example could be a significant commercial that is in a good state of conservation and the current or future owners have expressed no desire to demolish or alter significant elements in any way.
- On a scale of 1 10, a 10 would indicate a high risk to significant elements or the place as a whole. An example could be a place with high heritage value, such as a residence in a good state of conservation that is the birthplace of a Prime Minister, for which the local municipality has received an application for complete demolition of the structure. This would mean real and immanent risk to the place.

Potential for Rehabilitation/Adaptive Re-Use (20%)

The potential for rehabilitation or adaptive re-use of an endangered place can be evaluated by answering some of the following questions:

- O What can be done with the site if it is successfully conserved?
- o Is there a group or individual that has expressed a plan for the future use of the site?
- o Is it financially feasible to rehabilitate or adaptively re-use the site based on its current level of deterioration?
- o Is there community and/or government support for the continued use of the site?
- Has the current owner been contacted concerning the potential continued use of the site?
- On a scale of 1 10, a 1 would indicate that there is very little potential for rehabilitation or adaptive re-use of the site. An example could be that the current owner has filed for a demolition permit and intends to build new construction on the site.
 Engagement with the owner does not result in a change of plans.
- On a scale of 1 10, a 10 would indicate that there is an excellent potential for rehabilitation or adaptive re-use of the site. An example would be a significant commercial property that is slated for demolition is put up for sale and a potential buyer has been identified who has the resources and plan to appropriately rehabilitate or adaptively re-use the property.

Heritage Value (max 750 words)

Risk Assessment (max 750 words)

Potential for Rehabilitation/Adaptive Re-Use (max 750 words)